

INTRODUCTION

- Globally, up to 95% of adult systemic mastocytosis (SM) cases are associated with somatic gain-of-function point mutations in the *KIT* gene, mainly *KIT* D816V mutations¹⁻³
- Little is known about the molecular profile characterization of the *KIT* gene in Europe and whether clinicians are accurately diagnosing SM
- Little is also known about the co-occurrence of somatic variants in other genes such as SRSF2, ASXL1, TET2, and RUNX1
- Accurate diagnosis and understanding of co-mutations in SM can impact prognosis and treatment strategy^{4,5}

AIMS

- We explored the landscape of next-generation sequencing (NGS) testing practices for KIT mutations in clinical practice in France, Italy, Germany, and Spain, to determine the potential number of individuals that could be impacted by *KIT*-targeted treatments for SM
- To determine the **molecular epidemiology of** *KIT* **point** mutations such as D816V, D816Y, D816F, D816H and D816I, as well as **co-mutation** of *KIT* with other genes such as TET2, SRSF2, ASXL1 and RUNX1 to investigate the molecular profiles of potential SM cases

METHODS

- The **SOPHiA DDM™ Platform** (SOPHIA GENETICS SA, Switzerland) proprietary algorithms were used to analyze pseudo-anonymized real-world genomic profiles (Q1 2019 - Q2 2021) across 59 Institutions
- Data were obtained from testing with 34 SOPHIA GENETICS somatic onco-hematological (HemOnc) NGS panels capable of detecting KIT alterations from RNA or DNA

NGS Testing Practices and Molecular Profile Landscape of the KIT Gene in Systemic Mastocytosis: **Real-world Insights From Selected European Countries**

¹Blueprint Medicines GmbH, Zug, Switzerland ²SOPHIA GENETICS S.A.S., Bidart, France ³SOPHiA GENETICS SA, Saint Sulpice, Switzerland ⁴Blueprint Medicines, Cambridge, MA, USA

RESULTS

KIT testing landscape

KIT D816 mutation disease associations



N. LAMONTAGNE,¹ S. CHELONI,² S. LIMA,³ T. GREEN,⁴ Z. CROUCH,⁴ A. KIM⁴

• **32,052** individuals were tested with somatic HemOnc panels capable of detecting *KIT* alterations

• For **76%** of individuals, DNA/RNA was extracted from peripheral blood samples, and for 23% of individuals from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples


• The HemOnc somatic KIT testing footprint increased over time (Figure 1)

 Most cancer institutes used custom panels, while general hospitals (the majority of institutions) used more commercially available panels

• *KIT* D816 mutations were associated with a myeloid/myeloproliferative malignancy in 85% of cases with a disease tag

• Mastocytosis was the disease tag in 2% of individuals with a *KIT* D816 mutation detected by a HemOnc panel (Figure 2)

• It is important to note that the most common form of advanced SM is SM with associated hematologic neoplasm (SM-AHN), which could have been mistakenly classified under other tags such as myeloid neoplasm or leukemia








Figure 2. Disease tag associated with KIT D186 mutation-positive individuals, separated according to specific KIT variant (n = 283).

CONCLUSIONS

- The comprehensive characterization of the molecular epidemiology of *KIT* variants and comutations is crucial to better define SM prognosis and treatment strategies
- This study provides new insights into the occurrence of *KIT* alterations and the concurrent presence of oncogenic co-mutations in potential SM cases, and how specific somatic NGS applications, namely HemOnc panels, are used across France, Italy, Germany, and Spain
- Indeed, the reported frequency for *KIT* and co-occurring alterations is likely under-estimated here, due to the availability of alternative genetic testing techniques and platforms (e.g. ddPCR, which can have a higher sensitivity than NGS)
- The low percentage of SM disease tags associated with *KIT* D816 cases highlights the difficulty in detecting and diagnosing individuals with SM and the potential number of individuals that could benefit from *KIT*-targeted treatment strategies

Figure 1. Number of individuals profiled with HemOnc panels covering KIT

Results are extracted from SOPHiA's community and thus data should be interpreted with caution as they might reflect only part of the

KIT variant breakdown

• A total of **33,289** *KIT* **gene variants** were identified amongst **12,840** *KIT* mutationpositive individuals

- KIT D816 variants represented 1.6-3.3% of all *KIT* mutations (Figure 3)
- *KIT* **D816V** represented **91%** of detected KIT D816 variants
- Seven KIT D816V mutation-positive cases showed intratumoral heterogeneity for *KIT* D816 variants, which could reflect a subclonal architecture of tumors


Oncogenic alterations co-occurring with *KIT* D816V


- The analysis of *KIT* drivers within *KIT* or other genes found that **48.8%** of *KIT* D816V mutation-positive cases had at least one **co-occurring oncogenic** alteration in KIT, SRSF2, ASXL1, TET2, or RUNX1
- Co-occurring oncogenic alterations were most frequent in ASXL1 (Figure 4)

REFERENCES

- Garcia-Montero AC, et al. Blood 2006;108(7):2366-72.
- 2. Verstovsek S. Eur J Haematol. 2013;90(2):89-98.
- . Gilreath JA, et al. Clin Pharmacol. 2019;11:77-92.
- 4. Jawhar M, et al. Leukemia 2015;29(5):1115-22.
- 5. Schwaab J, Blood 2013;122(14):2460-6.

1090





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Scientific writing and editorial support was provided by Mallory Gough, SOPHiA GENETICS Limited.

Legal entity responsible for the study: SOPHIA GENETICS SA. Funding: Blueprint Medicines Corporation.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Blueprint Medicines: <u>medinfo@blueprintmedicines.com</u> SOPHiA GENETICS: Sofia Lima, <u>SRMLima@sophiagenetics.com</u>