

American Society of Hematology Helping hematologists conquer blood diseases worldwide



1638

Patient Reported Outcomes among systemic mastocytosis (SM) patients in routine clinical practice: results from the TouchStone Survey

The TouchStone SM Survey Working Group: <u>Ruben A. Mesa¹</u>, Erin M. Sullivan², David Dubinski², Brittany Carroll², Valerie M. Slee³,

Susan Jennings³, Celeste Finnerty³, Linda Bohannon⁴ Susan Mathias⁵, Mariana Castells⁶

¹Mays Cancer Center at UT Health San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA; ²Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA; ³The Mast Cell Disease Society, Sterling, Massachusetts, USA; ⁴Cancer Support Community, Washington DC, USA; ⁵Health Outcomes Solutions, Winter Park, Florida, USA;

⁶Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Corresponding author: MesaR@uthscsa.edu

Disclosures

Dr. Mesa has received funding from AbbVie, Celgene, CTI Biopharma, Genentech, Incyte, Promedior and Samus, and has received consultancy fees from LaJolla Pharma, Novartis and Sierra Oncology.

Study sponsored by Blueprint Medicines Corporation.





Background

- SM is a rare, clonal MC neoplasm driven by the *KIT* D816V mutation characterized by unpredictable, severe, and debilitating skin, gastrointestinal, and systemic symptoms
- SM symptoms are caused by MC hyperactivation and uncontrolled proliferation, degranulation, and mediator release^{1,2}
- As many as 50% of patients with SM, the majority of whom have the ISM subtype, report experiencing life-threatening anaphylaxis^{3,4}
- Patients with SSM and AdvSM subtypes have increased risk of progression and lower OS compared with ISM patients⁵
- Patients with SM are often misdiagnosed or have delayed diagnosis⁶
- The objective of this study was to assess the impact of SM on patients' daily functioning, work status, use of healthcare services, and medication use in a real-world setting in the US



3



American Society of Hematology

TouchStone patient survey: methods

- Patients ≥18 years residing in the United States with self-reported diagnosis of SM who provided informed consent were recruited to participate in this survey through the Mast Cell Connect patient registry¹
- Patients completed a 100-item online survey that included the **ISM-SAF** (symptom assessment), **SF-12** (global health assessment) and **WPAI** (work/activity impairment measure) questionnaires
- The online survey also included questions related to the following^a:
 - SM diagnosis, symptoms, and impact on daily functioning, ability to work, and quality of life
 - Use of OTC and prescription medications for SM, use of epinephrine for anaphylaxis, and frequency of physician and emergency department (ED) visits during 2019 (one-year prior to COVID-19 pandemic)
- Descriptive statistics on survey answers

ISM-SAF ²		SF-12		
Symptoms	Description	Assessment	Description	
GI (0–30): Abdominal pain, diarrhea.	 Each symptom scored 0–10 	Physical functioning	 5-point Likert scale (responses range from 'Not at all' to 'Extremely') 	
nausea		Role-physical		
Skin (0_20): Spots itching flushing	0 is no symptoms	Bodily pain	 3-point verbal rating scales 	
	10 is the worst imaginable	General health	Physical and mental component	
Neurocognitive (0–30): Brain fog, headache, dizziness	 24-hour recall period 	Vitality	scores range from 0 to 100 (lowest	
		Social functioning	and highest level of health,	
Bone pain		Role-emotional	respectively)	
Fatigue		Mental health	4-week recall period	



American Society *of* Hematology

*Established PRO measures were scored using established scoring algorithms; GI, gastrointestinal; ISM-SAF, Indolent Systemic Mastocytosis mptom Assessment Form; OTC, over-the-counter; PRO, patient-reported outcome; SF-12, 12-1tem Short Form survey; WPAI, Work Productivity and Activity Impairment. 1. . Mast Cell Connect is an electronic online patient registry owned and managed by Blueprint Medicines: https://www.mastcellconnect.org; 2. Shields A et al. ISPOR Europe Congress 2019, November 2–6, Copenhagen, Denmark. Poster #PRO142.

TouchStone patient survey participants

Patient characteristics	N=56	Primary physician who manages SM, n (%)	
Median age (range), years	48 (20–76)	Allergist/Immunologist	33 (59)
Female, n (%)	50 (89)	Hematologist/Oncologist	12 (21)
Mean time since receiving SM diagnosis, years (range)	7 (1–20)	General practitioner/PCP Other	9 (16) 2 (4)
SM subtype, n (%)		Setting of care for primary SM physician, n (%)	
ISM	37 (66)	Academic hospital	18 (32)
ASM	5 (9)	Multi-specialty group/HMO	16 (29)
SSM	3 (5)	Single specialty group	5 (9)
SM-AHN	1 (2)	Solo practice	9 (16)
Unknown	10 (18)	Community hospital	2 (4)
Mean time from symptom onset to receiving physician diagnosis, years (range)	6 (1–10)	Other Not sure	4 (7) 2 (4)
Type of physician who diagnosed SM. n (%)		Symptoms reported during the past year, n (%)	
Allergist/Immunologist	24 (43)	Patients reporting ≥10 symptoms	56 (100)
Dermatologist	24 (43) 12 (22)	Most bothersome symptom	
Hematologist	13 (23)	Anaphylactic episodes	10 (18)
	12 (21)	Abdominal/stomach pain	9 (16)
Gastroenterologist	3 (5)	Diarrhea	7 (13)
Other	4 (7)	Fatigue	



ASM, aggressive SM; HMO, health maintenance organizations; PCP, primary care physician; SM, systemic mastocytosis; SM-AHN, systemic mastocytosis with an associated hematologic neoplasm.; SSM, smoldering SM.

Participants reported reduced physical functioning and mental health

Compared to CRC and lung cancer patients, SM patients on average report lower (worse) PCS and MCS scores





Blue and red box plots on the SF-12 figure represent the interquartile range and the median. 1. Kenzik KM et al. *Cancer*. 2015;121:2831–2839.

CRC, colorectal cancer; MCS, mental composite score; PCS, physical composite score; SD; standard deviation.

Participants reported SM symptoms have significant impact on ability to work and perform usual activities

WPAI



64% of respondents reported they avoid leaving their house due to SM symptoms





Participants reported frequent visits to multiple physician specialists for their SM symptoms



Patient-reported physician office visits for SM during 1-year period^a



Participants reported SM-related anaphylaxis, events and use of multiple OTC and prescription medications for SM



🚱 Amer

American Society of Hematology

^aOver a period of one year. Percentages on the bar graphs have been rounded to the closest whole number and may not add up to 100.

Conclusions

- These survey findings indicate that SM symptoms have a substantial negative impact on patients' ability to work and perform usual activities.
- Compared to CRC and lung cancer patients, participants in this TouchStone survey reported on average lower (worse) physical functioning and mental health (PCS and MCS SF-12 scores).
- Over a one-year period, SM patients in this study reported use of multiple OTC and prescription medications, frequent visits to physician specialists to manage their SM, and anaphylactic events.

Limitations and future research

- This study is limited by the inclusion of patients with self-reported SM. Future studies including patients with physician-verified SM should be considered.
- Additional research on the frequency and optimal management of anaphylaxis among SM patients is warranted based on these findings.





Acknowledgements

- Participating patients
- ClearView healthcare partners
- Paragon, UK



