The Budget Impact of Adding Pralsetinib to a U.S. Health Plan Formulary for the Treatment of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and Thyroid Cancer with Rearranged During **Transfection Alterations**

Steve Duff¹, Francesca Bargiacchi², Chelsea Norregaard², Melanie Brener², Erin Sullivan² ¹Veritas Health Economics Consulting, Carlsbad, CA, USA; ²Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cambridge, MA, USA

Background

- Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)-attributable deaths were estimated to exceed 135,000 in the U.S. in 2020¹; on the other hand, thyroid cancer is associated with low mortality but a rapidly rising incidence² such that, in 2013, more than 630,000 patients were living with thyroid cancer in the U.S.³
- The Rearranged during Transfection (RET) alteration is an actionable biomarker present in a broad number of solid tumors including NSCLC and thyroid cancer.
- Recent advancements in targeting RET-altered tumors led to the FDA approval of two potent, selective RET inhibitors in 2020—selpercatinib (May) and pralsetinib (September).

Methods

Model Approach and Key Model Inputs

- The budget impact model (BIM) takes the perspective of a hypothetical health plan of 1-million members with demographic characteristics reflective of the overall U.S. population and a payer distribution (Commercial, Medicare, and Medicaid) consistent with the U.S. insured population.
- The analysis time horizon is 3 years and accounts for the shift in treatment options from <u>current</u> therapies (without pralsetinib) to a <u>future</u> mix that includes pralsetinib.
- A comprehensive set of medical resources, including RET testing, was used to estimate the costs of each treatment regimen in the model and, after adjusting for market share, the total costs and incremental budget impact (per-member per-month; PMPM) were calculated (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Model Schematic

Epidemiology and Treatments

- The number of treated patients is calculated from the age and sex distribution of covered lives, the age- and sex-adjusted incidence of disease, and the proportion of patients diagnosed with advanced or metastatic disease. The epidemiologic and disease-related parameters for these calculations are presented in **Table 1** Treatments included in the model were indication-specific and represent commonly
- used therapies. The two selective RET inhibitors (pralsetinib and selpercatinib) were the only treatments assumed to potentially gain market share over time with Year 3 shares at ≥86%, split equally between the two RET inhibitors in the market scenario that includes pralsetinib.

Costs and Analyses

- A comprehensive set of medical resources/costs (2020 U.S. dollars) were included in the analysis (Table 2) based on prescribing information, Medicare reimbursement, and Redbook wholesale acquisition costs.
- RET testing cost varied by indication (\$1,220-\$1,845) due to the different distribution of tests employed including next generation sequencing panel tests.
- Base case analyses calculated total and incremental costs for each indication and as a total of all three combined; sensitivity and scenario analyses were conducted

Abbreviations: AE: adverse event; AHRQ: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; ATC: anaplastic thyroid cancer; BIM: budget impact model; BLS: Bureau of Labor Statistics: CMS: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; FTC: follicular thyroid cancer; HCUPNet: Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project Network; M: million; Mo: month; MTC: medullary thyroid cancer; NIH: National Institutes of Health; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; PFS: progression-free survival; PMPM: per-member per-month; PTC: papillary thyroid cancer; RAI: radioiodine-refractory; RET: rearranged during transfection; SEER: Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program; US: United States

 Pralsetinib (GAVRETO[™]) is an oral, selective RET inhibitor that potently targets RET-altered kinases including gatekeeper mutations (V804) associated with resistance to multikinase inhibitors; it is an FDA-approved treatment for the indicated populations of focus in this analysis.⁴

Objective: To conduct a budget impact analysis, adding pralsetinib to a U.S. health plan formulary for treatment of patients with metastatic RET fusionpositive NSCLC, advanced or metastatic RET-mutant medullary thyroid cancer (MTC), or advanced or metastatic RET fusion-positive thyroid cancer.

Table 1. Epidemiology Para	meters		
NSCLC			
Lung Cancer Incidence by Age (per 100,000)	Male	Female	Age-/Sex- Adjusted
18 – 64 years	23.2	23.9	23.0
≥65 years	343.3	270.7	303.3
Age- and Sex-Adjusted	113.3	100.2	106.4
Other Cancer-Specific Parameters			
% NSCLC		85.0%	
% metastatic		46.4%	
RET-Related Parameters	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
RET fusion rate	2%	2%	2%
RET fusion testing	30%	50%	70%
MTC			
Thyroid Cancer Incidence by Age (per 100,000) ^a	Male	Female	Age-/Sex- Adjusted
12 – 17 years	2.6	10.2	6.4
18 – 64 years	9.0	28.9	19.2
≥65 years	17.6	26.1	22.3
Age- and Sex-Adjusted	10.6	26.5	18.9
Other Cancer-Specific Parameters			
% MTC		4.0%	
% advanced/metastatic		25.6%	
% requiring systemic therapy		53.0%	
RET-Related Parameters	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
RET mutation rate	75%	75%	75%
RET mutation testing	100%	100%	100%
Thyroid Cancer			
Thyroid Cancer Incidence by Age (per 100,000)	Male	Female	Age-/Sex- Adjusted
12 – 17 years	2.6	10.2	6.4
18 – 64 years	9.0	28.9	19.2
≥65 years	17.6	26.1	22.3
Age- and Sex-Adjusted	10.6	26.5	18.9
Other Cancer-Specific Parameters			
% PTC, FTC, ATC (not MTC)		96.0%	
% advanced/metastatic		14.0%	
% requiring systemic therapy		90.0%	
% RAI-refractory		30.0%	
RET-Related Parameters	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
RET fusion rate	17%	17%	17%
RET fusion testing	50%	70%	70%
Sources Driler 2018: Elissi 2010: Llerhet 20	10 Llizach 2017. L		

Sources: Drilon 2018; Elisei 2019; Herbst 2018 Hirsch 2017; Lee 2017; Pietrowska 2017; Prescott 2015; Randle 2017; US Census Bureau 2020, US NIH SEER 2020; Blueprint Medicines Data on File

Key assumptions: 1) 2019 U.S. population estimates were increased by a 1% growth factor to more accurately reflect the 2020 population size; 2) in order to better estimate % advanced and metastatic, assumptions about SEER data characterized as 'distant' or 'regional' were required

Table 2. Cost Parameters

NSCLC										
Parameter	Pralsetinib S		percatinib Cabozantii		inib	nib Pembrolizumab		Pe C	Pemetrexed + Carboplatin	
Treatment duration (months)	17.5		17.5	11.2			5.2		8.9 / 2.8	
Drug acquisition (per month)	\$19,243		\$20,600	\$19,285		5	\$13,892		\$10,412 / \$79	
Drug administration (per mo.)	\$0		\$0	\$0 \$0			\$204		\$204 / \$99	
Adverse events	\$2,172		\$1,042	42 \$1,371			\$754		\$207	
Monitoring	\$170	\$178			\$172		\$176		\$178	
MTC										
Parameter	Pralsetinib		Selpercatinib		С	Cabozantinib		Vandetanib		
Treatment duration (months)	22.0		22.0			11.2		22.6		
Drug acquisition (per month)	\$19,243		\$20,600			\$19,285		\$14,680		
Drug administration (per mo.)	\$0		\$0			\$ 0		\$0		
Adverse events	\$1,881		\$1,050			\$1,382		\$633		
Monitoring	\$170		\$178			\$172		\$179		
Thyroid Cancer										
Parameter	Pralsetinib	Selpercatinib		Cabozantinib		Var	andetanib Ler		inib	Sorafenib
Treatment duration (months)	18.4	18.4		11.2			22.6	18.3		10.8
Drug acquisition (per month)	\$19,243	\$20,600			\$19,285		14,680	\$19,021		\$19,981
Drug administration (per mo.)	\$0	\$0		\$0			\$0 \$0			\$0
Adverse events	\$1,885	\$1,050		\$1,388			\$633 \$2,00		6	\$701
Monitoring	\$170	\$178			\$172		\$179 9		185 \$170	

Sources: AHRQ HCUPNet 2020; CMS 2020; Redbook 2020; US BLS 2020; Prescribing information for all compactors (2020) Key assumptions: 1) treatment duration of pralsetinib and selpercatinib assumed equivalent given lack of evidence on PFS and duration of response (see Limitations); 2) clinical expert opinion was used to estimate some medical resource use for adverse event and ongoing patient management; 3) the WAC for the starting dose of both pralsetinib and selpercatinib was used as a simplifying assumption noting that patients on either therapy may have the dose reduced which would decrease drug acquisition costs

• Given the rarity of the RET-altered cancers in this budget impact analysis, only ~6 patients in a 1-million member U.S. health plan would be expected to be eligible for treatment annually with pralsetinib by Year 3. Pralsetinib adoption is projected to result in a manageable budget impact to a U.S. health plan, with a modest overall cost reduction when compared to existing standard of care treatment options. • Differences in drug acquisition cost are a key cost driver of the incremental budget impact; all other medical resource categories were cost-neutral or only nominally cost saving or additive in the budget impact analysis.

Results

- Resu Patie Numb With Drug c Admin Monito Adver RET te Total Witho Drug c Admin Monito Adver
- RET te Total Increr Drug c Admin
- Monito Adver RET te Total Total

Limitations

Conclusions

Base Case and Sensitivity Analysis Results

• By Year 3, 4.6 NSCLC patients, 0.7 MTC patients, and 0.7 thyroid cancer patients would initiate treatment annually in the hypothetical 1-million member U.S. health plan (Table 3). • Expenditures were highest for NSCLC patients (~\$1.5M in Year 3), but modest cost savings were achieved after adoption of pralsetinib across all three indications: -\$6,495 to -\$36,498 depending on indication (-\$0.0005 to -\$0.0030 PMPM). • Drug acquisition cost was a key cost driver of cost savings; RET testing cost was highest for NSCLC patients given the greater number of patients tested in the plan (326 NSCLC patients vs. 6 thyroid cancer patients vs. 1 MTC patient)

Table 3. Base Case Budget Impact Results

t	NSCLC				MTC			hyroid Cance	er 🔤
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
nt Count		•							
er of new patients treated	2.0	3.3	4.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.7
Pralsetinib Available									
cost	\$265,910	\$606,671	\$1,183,436	\$138,197	\$203,359	\$239,832	\$106,387	\$190,793	\$229,992
istration cost	\$1,486	\$1,584	\$676	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
oring costs	\$2,778	\$5,768	\$10,540	\$1,324	\$1,937	\$2,163	\$1,005	\$1,793	\$2,076
se event costs	\$1,937	\$3,967	\$6,785	\$790	\$860	\$939	\$620	\$923	\$1,002
esting cost	\$119,169	\$198,616	\$278,062	\$1,610	\$1,610	\$1,610	\$4,629	\$6,481	\$6,481
0	\$391,281	\$816,606	\$1,479,499	\$141,921	\$207,767	\$244,544	\$112,641	\$199,990	\$239,550
ut Pralsetinib Available		·							•
cost	\$269,727	\$622,734	\$1,221,911	\$139,475	\$207,302	\$247,024	\$107,356	\$194,362	\$236,707
istration cost	\$1,486	\$1,584	\$676	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
oring costs	\$2,802	\$5,868	\$10,779	\$1,332	\$1,961	\$2,208	\$1,011	\$1,815	\$2,117
se event costs	\$1,672	\$2,973	\$4,569	\$725	\$714	\$694	\$570	\$766	\$741
esting cost	\$119,169	\$198,616	\$278,062	\$1,610	\$1,610	\$1,610	\$4,629	\$6,481	\$6,481
	\$394,856	\$831,775	\$1,515,997	\$143,143	\$211,586	\$251,536	\$113,566	\$203,425	\$246,045
nental Results									
ost	-\$3,817	-\$16,063	-\$38,475	-\$1,279	-\$3,942	-\$7,192	-\$969	-\$3,569	-\$6,715
istration cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
oring costs	-\$24	-\$100	-\$239	-\$8	-\$24	-\$45	-\$6	-\$22	-\$42
se event costs	\$265	\$994	\$2,215	\$65	\$147	\$245	\$50	\$157	\$261
esting cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	-\$3,576	-\$15,169	-\$36,498	-\$1,221	-\$3,820	-\$6,992	-\$925	-\$3,434	-\$6,495
(PMPM)	-\$0.0003	-\$0.0013	-\$0.0030	-\$0.0001	-\$0.0003	-\$0.0006	-\$0.0001	-\$0.0003	-\$0.0005

 One-way sensitivity analyses were conducted by increasing and decreasing key values by 20%.

 Uncertainty in the RET testing assumption (no change) in trajectory upon pralsetinib introduction), pralsetinib drug cost, and duration of treatment had the greatest impact on the potential incremental budget impact (Figure 2).

 Only these 3 parameters resulted in additive budget impact (PMPM range: \$0.0028 to \$0.0201).

Figure 2. Sensitivity Analysis of Budget Impact Results (PMPM) for all Three Indications Combined

Support for this study was provided by Blueprint Medicines

 Studies of RET-altered patients tend to be observational or of small sample size; therefore, we assumed that epidemiologic data from the cancers of interest applied to RET-altered populations.

 Pralsetinib and selpercatinib do not yet have published progression-free survival or response duration data available for all patient cohorts; thus, treatment duration estimates are uncertain.

• The BIM does not evaluate sequential lines of therapy and treatment algorithms. Market share estimates were considered to be cross-sectional and representative of the distribution of treatment comparators.

ackground References: ¹Siegel RL. CA Cancer J Clin 2020;70(1):7-30; ²Jung CK. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014;99(2):E276-285; ³American Thyroid Association 2020 <u>https://www.thyroid.org/medullary-thyroid-cancer/;</u> ⁴Pralsetinib prescribing information 2020 <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/214701s000lbl.pdf</u> Adeling References: Drilon A. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018;15(3):151-167; Elisei R. Genes (Basel). 2019;10(9):698; Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. https://hcupnet.ahrq.gov/ Accessed lune 9, 2020; Herbst RS. Nature 2018;553(7689):446-454; Hirsch FR. Lancet 2017;389(10066):299-311; Lee MY. Cancer Res Treat. 2017;49(4):906-914; Pietrowska M. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta. 2017;1865(7):837-845; Prescott JD. Cancer 2015;121(13):2137- 2146; Randle RW. Surgery 2017;161(1):137-146; RED BOOK. IBM Micromedex. Accessed May 26, 2020; J.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 2019. Table 1. Population by Age and Sex: 2019; Internet release date April 2020; U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2020 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule and Clinical Diagnostic Fee Schedule, accessed in May/June 2020; U.S. NIH SEER 21 data for recent trends in SEER age-adjusted incidence rates 2000-2017, lung and bronchus cancer; U.S. NIH SEER 21 data for recent trends in SEER ageadjusted incidence rates 2000-2017, thyroid cancer; U.S. Prescribing Information for pralsetinib and all comparators





